

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
GREGORY N. CLEMENTS
DOUGHERTY, CLEMENTS, HOFER & BERNARD
1901 ROXBOROUGH ROAD, SUITE 300
CHARLOTTE, NC 28211

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) 15 JUN 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

2004/02 PCT

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US05/08989

18 March 2005 (18.03.2005)

22 March 2004 (22.03.2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): D01F 6/00; B32B 5/02, 27/12, 27/04 and US Cl.: 428/364, 375; 442/93,94

Applicant

INVISTA NORTH AMERICA

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☒ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

N Edwards

Telephone No. 571-272-1700

[Handwritten signature: f. Edwards]
[Handwritten initials: fer]

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/08989

Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/08989

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-8</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>NONE</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-8</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-8</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-8 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hancock (US 663087) in combination Applicant admission at page 2 of spec.

Hancock teaches a fabric (include any and all fabric) made from yarn which is made from filament(s) have a coating of halogenated (fluorochemicals) polymer. Hancock further teaches the filaments are be made from polyesters, poly-olefins, polyamides and others polymers.

Hancock still further teaches the filaments have a contact angle (or water contact angle) of 90 degrees. Hancock is silent to wick performance and so called static Voltage (operating range) of +/- 400 volts.

Applicant admission on page 2 of the spec it is well known if the art the fabrics made of polyester yarn having fluorochemical finishes have a wicking performance of 6.4mm and a static voltage range greater than 400 volts.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the fluorochemical coated fabric, as taught by Hancock, have a reasonable expectation of success of possessing the claimed wicking performance and static voltage ,as taught by Applicant admission. Hence obviousness is met.

Claims 1-8 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus the filament yarn and fabric have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/08989

Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 1 and 5 "static voltage of ± 400 volts" is vague, indefinite and misleading. As written in the claim it appears the filament yarn or fabric has the static voltage of ± 400 VOLTS. Reading the claims in light of the spec at page 2 and page 8 "static voltage" as claimed is a static operating range of voltage (± 400 volts) use to measure static voltage running in a yarn with a voltmeter. Correction is required.